

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | www.uspto.gov | <u> </u> |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/680,088 | 10/05/2000 | Seiji Nabeshima | 1396-00 | 8261 |
| | 7590 12/12/2001 | | | |
| | HARRISON SEGAL | EXAMINER | | |
| 1600 MARKE SUITE 3600 | | WESSMAN, ANDREW E | | |
| PHILADELPH | HIA, PA 19103 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1742 | 11 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/12/2001 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| MF-4 | _ |
|---|---|
| cant(s) | |
| SHIMA ET AL. | |
| nit | |
| ondence address | |
| DM | |
| onsidered timely. g date of this communication. S.C. § 133). uce any | |
| ion as to the merits is 6. 213. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| FR 1.85(a). | |
| the Examiner. | |
| · (f). | |
| | |
| is National Stage | |
| provisional application). | |
| r 121. | |

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/680,088 | NABESHIMA ET AL. | | | |
| Offic | Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Andrew E Wessman | 1742 | | | |
| The MAIL Period for Reply | ING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Respons | ive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action | on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Clai | ms | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) | 1-4 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) _ | is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) _ | is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> | 1-4 are subject to restriction and/or ele | ection requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | |
| 9) The specifi | cation is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawin | g(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep | ted or b) objected to by the Exar | miner. | | | |
| Applicant | may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) The propos | sed drawing correction filed on | . is: a) approved b) disappro | ved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath o | r declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U | J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowle | dgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)[|] Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Cer | tified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Cer | tified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Application | on No | | | |
| · | pies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| _a) | ranslation of the foreign language pro | visional application has been rec | eived. | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of Reference | res Cited (PTO-802) | 4) Interview Summany | (PTO-413) Paner No/e) | | | |
| 2) D Notice of Draftspe | ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| · | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/680,088

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, drawn to an article (i.e. a rust resistant steel), classified in class 148, subclass 320.
 - II. Claim 4, drawn to a process of manufacturing a Ca-containing rust resistant steel, classified in class 148, subclass 541.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by a materially different process, such as powder metallurgy.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. A telephone call was made to Austin Miller on April 3, 2001, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew E Wessman whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/680,088

Art Unit: 1742

(703)305-3163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday,

8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703)308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

ROY KING

Page 3

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

AEW December 11, 2001

and the state of